

## COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF CRIME, POWER, AND HUMAN PSYCHOLOGY IN THE GODFATHER AND SHAYTANAT

Nozigul Maqsud qizi Subxonova

Department of English Philology

Bukhara State University

[n.m.subxonova@buxdu.uz](mailto:n.m.subxonova@buxdu.uz)

Gulchiroy Akbarjon qizi Akramova

2<sup>nd</sup> year student Bukhara State University

[gulchiroyakramova09@gmail.com](mailto:gulchiroyakramova09@gmail.com)

**Abstract:** *This paper explores the themes of crime, authority, and human psychology through a comparative study of Mario Puzo’s *The Godfather* (1969) and Tohir Malik’s *Shaytanat* (1994). The analysis focuses on how different cultural and historical environments influence the structure of criminal systems, ethical perspectives, and leadership approaches. Particular attention is given to the characters of Don Vito Corleone and Asadbek, whose decisions reflect the realities of their societies. Both works present crime not as simple chaos, but as an organized system governed by its own rules and logic. In my opinion, these works help us better understand human behavior, moral conflicts, and how society is organized.*

**Keywords:** *comparative literature, crime fiction, moral psychology, leadership, power dynamics, character study, cultural influence, mafia narrative, Uzbek literature*

### Introduction

Crime has consistently been a significant subject in literature, serving as a way to examine human behavior, moral choices, and social structures. Literary works that depict criminal worlds often go beyond illegal actions and reveal complex systems of values, relationships, and decision-making processes operating outside formal laws. While these themes are universal, there are relatively few studies comparing Western and Central Asian crime narratives. *The Godfather* by Mario Puzo and *Shaytanat* by Tohir Malik are notable examples that reflect the realities of crime in different historical contexts—mid-20th century America and post-Soviet Uzbekistan. This study aims to analyze how leadership and ethical reasoning are portrayed in these works, with a focus on the psychological depth of their main characters and the environments they inhabit.

### Methodology

In this study, I compare the two works and also look at the psychology of the main characters. It examines narrative elements, character evolution, and leadership styles of Don Vito Corleone and Asadbek. Through this approach, similarities in power structures are identified alongside differences shaped by cultural and historical conditions. This allows for a deeper understanding of how external factors influence behavior within criminal systems.

### Results and Discussion

In *The Godfather*, the mafia is depicted as a well-organized and stable system. Don Vito Corleone represents a leader whose authority is grounded in tradition and family values. His

power operates within a clear moral framework, often referred to as omertà, and he prioritizes long-term stability over immediate benefit. His leadership is calm and well-planned, and he mainly tries to keep everything under control.

On the other hand, Shaytanat presents a far more unstable and unpredictable criminal world. Asadbek is portrayed as a flexible and adaptive leader who must survive in a rapidly changing society following the collapse of the Soviet Union. Unlike Corleone’s structured environment, Asadbek deals with uncertainty, shifting alliances, and constant threats. His decisions are shaped by practicality and the need to adjust quickly to new circumstances. Both characters are influenced by traumatic past experiences, yet their psychological traits differ significantly. Don Vito’s early exposure to violence leads him to become emotionally controlled and rational. His actions are driven by the desire to protect his family and preserve stability. In contrast, Asadbek’s life is marked by personal loss and hardship, which contribute to a more conflicted inner world. He often reflects on moral and spiritual questions, showing a deeper internal struggle between right and wrong.

The concept of loyalty also differs in the two works. In *The Godfather*, loyalty is a fundamental principle tied to family honor and enforced through respect and obligation. In *Shaytanat*, however, loyalty is less stable due to the uncertain social environment. Trust is fragile, and relationships are often influenced by fear and personal gain. While Corleone maintains authority through respect and paternal influence, Asadbek must constantly assert control in a more dangerous and unpredictable setting.

### **Conclusion**

The comparison of *The Godfather* and *Shaytanat* shows that representations of crime in literature are strongly shaped by their socio-political contexts. Puzo presents a structured and tradition-based criminal system within a stable society, while Malik portrays a fragmented and morally complex world during a period of transition. Despite these differences, both main characters challenge the typical image of criminals. They are strategic leaders who create their own systems of values and order. Overall, it shows that power and human psychology are strongly connected to the environment people live in.

### **REFERENCES:**

1. Abdurahmonov, S. (2020). *Modern literary approaches to crime fiction*. Tashkent: Science Press.
2. Brown, A. (2012). *Psychological portraits in crime fiction*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
3. Jones, L. (2018). *Leadership and power in literature*. New York: Palgrave Macmillan.
4. Malik, T. (1994). *Shaytanat*. Tashkent: O‘zbekiston.
5. Puzo, M. (1969). *The Godfather*. New York: G. P. Putnam’s Sons.
6. Smith, J. (2015). *Crime and society: A comparative literary study*. London: Routledge.